EXHIBIT

Case: 12-2221 Document: 13 Page: 13 07/10/2012 659323 70

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 10-cr-991(JS)(AKT)

- versus - : U.S. Courthouse

: Central Islip, New York

BRIAN SULLIVAN, : Defendant : July 7, 2011

Detendant . o

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PLEADING BEFORE THE HONORABLE A. KATHLEEN TOMLINSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: Loretta E. Lynch, Esq.

United States Attorney

BY: Carrie Capwell, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney 100 Federal Plaza

Central Islip, NY 11722

For the Defendant: John Carman, Esq.

Transcription Service: Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

3859 Tiana Street Seaford, NY 11783 lafertype@verizon.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

2 Proceedings THE CLERK: Criminal Cause for Guilty Plea, 1 2 United States of America v. Brian Sullivan, under docket 3 10-cr-911. Counsel, appearances. 4 5 MS. CAPWELL: Carrie Capwell for the government. 6 Good afternoon, your Honor. THE COURT: Good afternoon. 8 MR. CARMAN: It's John Carman for Mr. Sullivan. 9 Good afternoon, your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Now you are Brian Sullivan; is that correct? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 13 14 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, the case has been 15 referred to me this afternoon for the specific purpose of hearing your plea and having you enter your plea. I have 16 17 a referral order before me from Judge Seybert stating 18 that I am to conduct the allocution under Rule 11 and to 19 first determine whether the plea is knowingly and 20 voluntarily made and not coerced and secondly to recommend whether the plea of guilty should be accepted 21 22 and report that back to Judge Seybert. At the bottom of the referral form, there is a 23

At the bottom of the referral form, there is a consent provision that appears to have been signed by you and your attorney, as well as Assistant United States

24

25

3 Proceedings Attorney Capwell, stating that you've had an opportunity to have full consultation with your counsel and that you're voluntarily consenting to have your plea entered . 3 here before me. 5 Is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: That's correct. 6 THE COURT: And for the record, just so we're 7 8 all on the same page here, Mr. Sullivan, do you understand that you have an absolute right to have your 10 plea taken in front of Judge Seybert. Do you understand that? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 13 THE COURT: And knowing that at this point, are 14 you nonetheless agreeing to have your plea entered here before me? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Did anybody coerce you in any way 17 or threaten you in any way to get you to agree to have 18 your plea heard here? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. 20 21 THE COURT: And are you doing so freely and voluntarily? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. Based on the 24 25 information I have obtained from the defendant, I am

4 Proceedings signing the form as well. Mr. Sullivan, before accepting or recommending actually that your plea be accepted by Judge Seybert, 3 there are a number of questions that I need to ask you in 5 order to assure that this is a valid plea. If for some reason you do not understand any of my questions, I am going to ask you, if you would please, to either raise your hand or let Mr. Carman know that and I'll try to 9 reword the question in a way that you can answer it. All right? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. THE COURT: All right. Madam clerk, would you 12 13 please swear in Mr. Sullivan. BRIAN SULLIVAN, 14 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, 15 was examined and testified as follows: 16 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, do you understand 17 that having been sworn now your answers to my questions 18 will be subject to the penalties of perjury or of making 19 a false statement if you do not answer them truthfully? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 22

THE COURT: What is your full name, please?

THE DEFENDANT: Brian Sullivan.

THE COURT: And how old are you, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: 38.

23

24

25

5 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, what is the highest level of schooling or education that you've completed? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: GED. THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently 4 been under the care of a physician or a psychiatrist? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No. 6 7 THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any narcotic drugs, medicine or pills or drunk any 8 9 alcoholic beverage? THE DEFENDANT: No. 10 THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized or 11 12 treated for narcotics addiction? 13 THE DEFENDANT: No. 14 THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you sit here 15 this afternoon? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: And do you understand what is going on in the courtroom? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: Mr. Carman, have you had an 21 opportunity to discuss this matter with Mr. Sullivan? MR. CARMAN: Yes, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: And to the best of your knowledge, does he understand the rights that he would be waiving 24 by pleading guilty? 25

6 Proceedings MR. CARMAN: He does. 1 THE COURT: And once again, to the best of your 2 knowledge, is he capable of understanding the nature of 3 4 these proceedings? MR. CARMAN: I believe he is. 5 6 THE COURT: Now Mr. Carman, do you have any doubt as to Mr. Sullivan's competency to plead at this 7 time? 8 9 MR. CARMAN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, you have the right to 10 11 plead not guilty. Do you understand that? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: If you plead not guilty, under the 14 Constitution and laws of the United States, you are 15 entitled to a speedy and public trial by a jury with the 16 17 assistance of counsel on the charges. 18 Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: At the trial, you would be presumed to be innocent and the government would have to overcome 21 that presumption and prove you guilty by competent 22 23 evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. And you would not have to prove that you are innocent. And if the 24 25 government failed, the jury would have the duty to find

7 Proceedings you not guilty. 2 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: In the course of the trial, the 4 5 witnesses for the government have to come to court and testify in your presence. And your counsel has the right 7 to cross-examine the witnesses for the government, to object to evidence that's offered by the government and 8 to offer evidence on your behalf. 10 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 12 THE COURT: At the trial, while you would have the right to testify if you chose to do so, you would not 13 be required to testify. Under the Constitution of the 14 United States, you cannot be compelled to incriminate 15 16 yourself. If you decided not to testify, the Court would 17 instruct the jury that they could not hold that against 18 you. 19 Do you understand that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: If you plead guilty and if I 22 recommend to Judge Seybert that the plea be accepted, you 23 will be giving up your constitutional rights to a trial 24 and the other rights that I have just discussed with you. 25 There will be no further trial of any kind and no right

Proceedings

to appeal or collaterally attack or at any time question whether you are guilty or not. A judgment of guilty will be entered on the basis of your guilty plea and that judgment can never be challenged. However, you have the right to appeal with respect to the taking of this plea and the sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, I will have to ask you questions about what did you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty of the charges to which you seek to plead guilty. And you will have to answer my questions and acknowledge your guilt.

Therefore, you will be giving up your right not to incriminate yourself.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, are you willing to give up your right to a trial and the other rights that I have discussed with you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I have also been handed up a plea agreement which is now marked that as Court Exhibit 1.

And Mr. Sullivan, I want to ask you, aside from this agreement, do you have any agreement with the

XS /

Proceedings

government or anybody else concerning the plea and the sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: My understanding is that

Mr. Sullivan intends to plead guilty to a lesser included

offense of Count 1 of the superseding indictment.

Is that correct?

MS. CAPWELL: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Ms. Capwell, I will ask you now if you would please on behalf of the government to list whether there is any waiver of appeal or other waiver of rights included in this plea agreement.

MS. CAPWELL: Yes, your Honor. The defendant has agreed pursuant to the plea agreement to not appeal or challenge in any way his conviction or sentence as long as the sentence imposed by the Court is 33 months or less. He also agrees to bring no claims under the Hyde Amendment and he also agrees to no further discovery from the government.

He also agrees that any other claims, should the defendant breach his agreement, that any other prosecutions against him would be time-barred as of today's date so that the statute of limitations would not continue to run after today's date.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Proceedings

Mr. Sullivan, you've just heard the prosecutor outline the waivers that are contained in the plea agreement.

Do you hear them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And did you understand them?

MR. CARMAN: Your Honor, he asked one question of me with regard to the appeal waiver, if I could just clarify that with him.

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

(Counsel and client confer)

MR. CARMAN: I think we're okay here.

THE COURT: All right. Let's try that one more time then. You heard the prosecutor outline the waivers that are contained in the plea agreement; correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

THE COURT: And you've consented to those

waivers; correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

THE COURT: And in particular, do you understand that if Judge Seybert sentences you to a term of 33 months or less incarceration, you will waive your right effectively to appeal that.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

Proceedings

THE COURT: Okay. So now we're looking at the lesser included offense of Count 1 of the superseding indictment and I will ask Ms. Capwell, if you would please, to fill in the elements of the crime that's charged here in Count 1.

MS. CAPWELL: Yes, your Honor. First, on or about and between March 1, 2010 and November 16, 2010; the second element, within the Eastern District of New York; third element, that the defendant acted knowingly and intentionally; fourth, that he agreed or conspired with others; fifth, to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute marijuana.

Because it is a lesser included offense, he does not need to allocute to any particular quantity. He's being permitted to plead guilty to a zero to five count which is under fifty kilograms of marijuana.

THE COURT: All right. And once again,
Mr. Sullivan, you've heard the prosecutor now outline the
elements of the crime with which you're charged and to
which you have represented that you intend to plead
guilty.

Did you understand those elements?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And have you have you had an opportunity to speak to your attorney about them?



12 Proceedings THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 1 THE COURT: All right. Looking to the plea 2 agreement and to the statute that applies here, the 3 lesser included offense of Count 1 under the statute 4 5 carries a maximum term of imprisonment of five years. 6 Do you understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And the minimum term of 8 imprisonment that Judge Seybert can impose here is zero 9 10 years. Do you understand that? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: And once again, under the statute 13 14 that applies to this particular count, there is a maximum fine of \$250,000. 15 16 Do you understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: And do you also understand that there is a \$100 special assessment which is in the form 19 of an administrative fee that you need to pay in the 20 21 short term. Do you understand that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And Mr. Sullivan, do you also 24 understand that if Judge Seybert imposes a term of 25

Proceedings

incarceration, she must also impose a period of
supervised release. In this instance, the minimum period
of supervised release is two years. The maximum
supervised release term is life, to follow any term of
imprisonment and if you violated a condition of release,
you may be sentenced to up to two years without credit
for pre-release imprisonment or time previously served on
post-release supervision.

I know that's a mouthful, do you understand it?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sullivan, have you had a opportunity to speak with Mr. Carman about the federal sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Under a specific Supreme Court decision the federal sentencing guidelines have been determined to be advisory and not mandatory. In other words, Judge Seybert must consider the federal sentencing guideline range but she is not compelled to sentence you within that range.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: After considering the federal sentencing guidelines range, Judge Seybert must consider all of the other factors in your case. For example, she

Proceedings

has to consider the statutory reasons for sentencing and those include first of all, the nature and circumstances of the offense here and the history and characteristics of you as the defendant.

She has to also consider the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law and to provide just punishment for the offense.

She must also consider the need to afford deterrence as to other criminal conduct and the need to protect the public from further crimes that you might commit.

Once Judge Seybert has considered the federal sentencing guidelines range and all of the other factors that I have just discussed with you, she must then ultimately determine a sentence that is reasonable, taking all of those things into account.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Sullivan, do you understand that any estimate of the guideline range that is provided by the government is not binding on the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And importantly, do you understand,

15 Proceedings Mr. Sullivan, that if the sentence imposed by 1 2 Judge Seybert is more severe than what you expected, you will nonetheless be bound by your guilty plea and you 4 will not be permitted to withdraw it? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, do you have any 7 questions that you would like to ask me about the charge or your rights or anything else relating to this matter? THE DEFENDANT: No. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, are you ready to 10 plead? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 13 THE COURT: Mr. Carman, do you know of any 14 legal reason why Mr. Sullivan should not plead guilty? 15 MR. CARMAN: No, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, are you satisfied 17 with your legal representation up until today? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Now Mr. Sullivan, what is your plea 19 to the lesser included offense of Count 1 in the 20 superseding indictment? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 23 THE COURT: Are you making the plea of guilty 24 voluntarily and of your own free will? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

Proceedings

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced you to get you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No:

THE COURT: Other than the agreement with the government which is the plea agreement that we have marked as Court Exhibit 1, and has been stated on the record, other than that agreement, has anyone made any promises that caused you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise to you as to what your sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, did you as charged in Count 1 of the superseding indictment on or about and between March 1, 2010 and November 16, 2010, here in the Eastern District of New York, along with others, did you knowingly and intentionally conspire to distribute and possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance; in this instance, marijuana.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, I am going to need you now please, if you would, to tell me in your own words what you did in connection with the acts that are charged in Count 1 of the superseding indictment.

THE DEFENDANT: I had an agreement with others

17 Proceedings to conspire to distribute marijuana, pretty much 2 specifically in Suffolk County. THE COURT: And was that time frame in 2010 3 when this occurred? THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 5 6 THE COURT: And you entered into this agreement 7 freely with the others involved. Is that correct? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 9 10 THE COURT: And at the time you entered into 11 this agreement, conspiring to distribute and possess with 12 intent to distribute marijuana, did you know you were 13 breaking the law? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: All right. 15 16 Ms. Capwell, is there anything else you need? MS. CAPWELL: No, your Honor, not in terms of 17 18 the allocution. I was just going to put on the record 19 the fact that there is a global plea in this case. 20 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed with 21 that. 22 MS. CAPWELL: Thank you. So, I just want to 23 make sure that the defendant is aware that paragraph 7 of 24 the plea agreement covers the global plea offer and that 25 the government has promised or agreed that as long as the

Proceedings

defendants listed in Count 7 enter their guilty pleas by
July 13, the government will move the Court for an
additional point off under the guidelines.

However, if all defendants don't plead guilty by July 13, then the government has the option to not ask the Court for that extra point off.

THE COURT: You heard what the prosecutor just described, Mr. Sullivan. Yes?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.2

THE COURT: And you understand that unless everyone who is involved in this plea agreement actually pleads guilty, unless that happens, the government's not bound by that additional one credit off so-to-speak, with regard to your guidelines calculation.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Ms. Capwell, if you would, on behalf of the government, please outline for the record now if you would, the proof in this case if the case were to proceed to trial.

MS. CAPWELL: Yes, your Honor. The evidence in this case includes it was a wiretap investigation over many months, so it would include recordings of telephone

Proceedings

calls, as well as text messages that were captured 2 involving this defendant and his co-defendants in connection with the distribution of marijuana. It would 3 also include surveillance of meetings that took place in 4 connection with this conspiracy. Also, marijuana was 5 seized during this investigation and it was tested by the 7 DEA and confirmed that it was, in fact, marijuana. That's the large basis of the evidence. 8 9

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based upon the information that's been given to me by the government, as well as by the defendant Mr. Sullivan and his counsel, I find that Mr. Sullivan is acting voluntarily, that he fully understands his rights and the consequences of his plea and that there is indeed a factual basis for the plea.

I, therefore, am recommending to Judge Seybert, that the plea of guilty here to the lesser included offense of Count One of the superseding indictment be accepted.

This case is set down for sentencing on October 7 at 10:30 a.m. before Judge Seybert.

Is there anything further from the government? MS. CAPWELL: No, thank you very much, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Carman, anything further on

```
20
                            Proceedings
   behalf of Mr. Sullivan?
1
2
              MR. CARMAN: No, your Honor. Thank you very
 3
   much.
              THE COURT: All right. We are concluded then.
4
5
   Thank you, all.
                    (Matter concluded)
 6
                          -000-
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of June, 2012.

Linda Ferrara

CET**D 656 Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.